U.S. Department of Homeland Security

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090 Washington, DC 20529-2090

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY



U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

LI

FILE:

Office: HOUSTON

Date:

JUN 1 0 2009

IN RE:

Applicant:

MSC 05 273 10335

APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the

Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

John F. Grissom

Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004 (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements), was denied by the Director, Houston. The decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant submitted a Form I-687, Application for Status as a Temporary Resident under Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act, and a Form I-687 Supplement, CSS/Newman (LULAC) Class Membership Worksheet. The director denied the application because the applicant did not establish that he continuously resided in the United States for the duration of the requisite period. After reviewing the affidavits the applicant provided and discounting them, the director focused on the applicant's claim, through his brother, that he had attended school in the Aldine School District in Texas for two years in the early 1980's, but he had dropped out and did not return. Although requested by the director, the applicant was unable to submit proof of his attendance. Additionally, when the director contacted the school administration, they had no record of his attendance at the institution.

On appeal, the applicant acknowledges that the evidence he submitted was not very substantial, but indicates it was difficult to find documents establishing his physical presence at such an early age without having a stable home environment. The applicant states that the documents ordinarily available to young men were impossible to get because of his illegal status at that time. The applicant further states that to complicate these circumstances, as a very young man without credentials issued by official government agencies, obtaining personal documentation for physical presence purposes during those years proved to be impossible.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals that the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for the denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not addressed the grounds stated for denial, nor has he presented additional evidence. The appeal shall therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.